



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,758	10/01/2003	Robert Alan Williams	H1306	7902
29393 7590 02/22/2008 ESCHWEILER & ASSOCIATES, LLC NATIONAL CITY BANK BUILDING 629 EUCLID AVE., SUITE 1000 CLEVELAND, OH 44114				
EXAMINER PLANTE, JONATHAN R				
ART UNIT 2182		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 02/22/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing@eschweilerlaw.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,758	WILLIAMS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan R. Plante	2182	

All Participants:

(1) Jonathan R. Plante.

(2) Mr. Thomas Eschweiler.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2020

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to clarify and understand the Claims the Examiner contacted via telephone Mr. Thomas Eschweiler on November 8, 12, 13, and 20, 2007 to discuss the application and claims. The Examiner attempted to understand Applicant's invention but was unable to with the help of Mr. Eschweiler. Therefore the Examiner will interpret the Claims in the broadest reasonable interpretation in view of the Examiner's understanding of the claims..